

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/774,297</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) CHICHKOV ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner QING-YUAN WU</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 2194</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/774,297	Applicant(s) CHICHKOV ET AL.	Examiner QING-YUAN WU	Art Unit 2194
Application No. 10/774,297	Applicant(s) CHICHKOV ET AL.				
Examiner QING-YUAN WU	Art Unit 2194				

All Participants: \_\_\_\_\_ Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(1) QING-YUAN WU. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mark Hollingsworth. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 11/25/09 Time: 12:00PM EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
35 USC 101

Claims discussed:  
15-19

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant's remark regarding the amendment to claims 15-19 is inconsistent with the claims presented.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)